

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,992	02/21/2002		Been-Yih Jin	10559-587001 / P12768	1734	
20985	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		•	POMPEY, RON EVERETT			
12390 EL C SAN DIEGO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2812		
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/081,992	JIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ron E Pompey	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	ugust 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
,							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 10-21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 10-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/081,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 8 and 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 5,545, 574) in further view of Yu (US 6,100,120) and Eguchi (US 5,185,286).

Chen discloses the limitations of:

a semiconductor substrate, the substrate being substantially free of silicon (20, fig. 2);

a gate dielectric layer (24, fig. 2) formed over a portion of the substrate; and a gate electrode, source and drain regions (26, 32 and 34, fig. 4) further comprising (col. 2, ln. 54 – col. 3, ln. 14): an interlayer dielectric layer (92, fig. 9) over the gate, source and drain (col. 4, lns. 31-35). Chen does not explicitly describe that the well and source and drain are different type of dopants, however it is inherent and well know that if you have an n-type of transistor that the well and source and drain regions are of different type dopants. Chen does disclose that the device formed is an n-channel device (col. 5, ln. 2).

Chen discloses the limitations of the claimed invention except, wherein the gate dielectric comprises a material having a dielectric constant greater than about 10

Art Unit: 2812

wherein the thickness is large enough to prevent a portion of off-state leakage and the interlevel dielectric defines first, second and third openings in the interlayer dielectric layer and further comprising: a metal within the first, second and third openings in contact with gate electrode, source and drain regions.

However, Yu discloses the limitations of forming a gate dielectric with a dielectric constant greater than about 10 (col. 4, Ins. 28-31; 22, fig. 4). Yu shows that it is well known in the art to use high dielectric constant materials for gate dielectrics therefore the physical thickness of the gate dielectric has less direct tunnel leakage.

Also, Eguchi discloses the limitations of forming contacts to the device (col. 4, Ins. 30-

36; 11, 12, 13 and 14, fig. 3). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Eguchi with Chen, because the contacts allow for electrical communication to the MOS device from external devices.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added claim matter: "a gate electrode defined over a portion of the gate dielectric laver such that the gate dielectric layer has a cross-sectional area substantially similar to a cross-sectional area of the gate electrode", "is not described in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,992

Art Unit: 2812

Response to Arguments

Page 4

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8-20-04, pertaining to claims 8 and 10-21, have been

fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the prior art of

record fails to disclose: "a gate electrode defined over a portion of the gate dielectric

laver such that the gate dielectric layer has a cross-sectional area substantially similar

to a cross-sectional area of the gate electrode". However, since the gate and dielectric

are patterned to the same dimensions, in the prior art reference(s), the cross-sectional

area will be substantially similar.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1680. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Ron Pompe

AU: 2812

September 20, 2004

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800